https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2022-246 Preprint. Discussion started: 1 September 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





1

#### Quantitative Chemical Assay of Nanogram-Level PM Using Aerosol Mass Spectrometry: Characterization of Particles Collected from Uncrewed Atmospheric Measurement Platforms

Christopher R. Niedek<sup>1,2</sup>, Fan Mei<sup>3</sup>, Maria A. Zawadowicz<sup>4</sup>, Zihua Zhu<sup>3</sup>, Beat Schmid<sup>3</sup>, Qi Zhang<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Toxicology, University of California, 1 Shields Ave., Davis, California 95616, United States
<sup>2</sup>Agricultural and Environmental Chemistry Graduate Program, University of California, 1 Shields Ave., Davis, California 95616, United States
<sup>3</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99352, United States
<sup>4</sup>Environmental and Climate Sciences Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, United States

10 Correspondence to: Qi Zhang (dkwzhang@ucdavis.edu)

#### Avenues for aerosol sampling: pros and cons

- Ground-based
- Traditional aircraft
- Uncrewed aerial systems (UAS) and tethered balloon systems (TBS)
  - Complementary & versatile
  - $_{\odot}$  Payload restrictions, low air sampling flow rate ightarrow minuscule mass per sample
    - $\circ$  E.g., ambient PM = 10 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, UAS sampler flow rate = 2.5 L min<sup>-1</sup>

 $\rightarrow$  6.7 hours of flight time would be needed to gather 10 µg of PM (for traditional analysis methods)

#### **Objective:**

- 1. Develop a micronebulization Aerosol Mass Spectrometry (MN-AMS) technique that combines isotopically-labelled internal standardization, micronebulization, and aerosol mass spectrometry for quantitative analysis of nanogram-level of PM
- 2. Application of MN-AMS to the analysis of UAS collected PM samples

### Micronebulization Aerosol Mass Spectrometry (MN-AMS)



- Extraction
  - $\circ$  Ice bath sonication with methanol/H<sub>2</sub>O

Spike

- <sup>34</sup>SO<sub>4</sub> as an internal standard
- Internal standardization corrects for the nonlinear behavior



Analytical recoveries are near 100 %

## SGP samples: MN-AMS and ACSM comparison



- Co-located ACSM measurements allow for further method validation
- Bulk analysis of PM composition show similar results between the ACSM and MN-AMS

# Quantification of SGP samples



- With isotopic internal standardization, the ambient PM mass concentration can be derived from the filter and impactor samples
- The offline MN-AMS measurements of ambient PM collected by UAS were within 20 % of those measured in real-time by the ACSM
- The time trend in ambient loadings measured by the ACSM is recaptured reasonably well by offline MN-AMS analysis

## Chemical characteristics of SGP samples

- MN-AMS provides high-resolution mass spectra of OA
- Mass spectral correlation with the corresponding ACSM data (UMR) was reasonable (r<sup>2</sup> ≥0.5)
- Nitrogen-containing organics were likely present in the SGP samples
  - Organonitrates were previously suspected at the SGP site<sup>4</sup>
  - Nitrogen-containing organics were additionally confirmed by SIMS measurements



(4) Parworth, C.; Fast, J.; Mei, F.; Shippert, T.; Sivaraman, C.; Tilp, A.; Watson, T.; Zhang, Q. Long-Term Measurements of Submicrometer Aerosol Chemistry at the Southern Great Plains (SGP) Using an Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM). *Atmos. Environ.* **2015**, *106*, 43–55.

### Chemical characteristics of SGP samples: July 22 vs. Nov. 21



• Potentially able to analyze UAS samples from relatively clean environment at sub-hourly resolution

Ability to capture temporal variations in concentration & composition allows for aerosol source apportionment.