Atmospheric processes in the marine CAO regime, based on COMBLE observations and modelling

Cold-Air Outbreaks in the Marine Boundary Layer Experiment

Bart Geerts

with contributions from Christian P. Lackner (Univ. of Wyoming) Branko Kosovic, Timothy W. Juliano, Lulin Xue (NCAR) Mikhail Ovchinnikov and Peng Wu (DOE – PNNL) Ann Fridlind (NASA GISS), Florian Tornow (Columbia), Israel Silber (PSU) Abigail Williams, Jeramy Dedrick, and Lynn Riussell (UCSD) Paul DeMott (CSU)



Contact: geerts@uwyo.edu This work was funded by the US Dept of Energy, grant ASR DE-SC0021151





cold-air outbreak

warm-air intrusion







## Representing marine CAOs in weather and climate models is difficult

- Key processes driving the CAO cloud regime are in a grey zone
  - Surface fluxes strongly affected by unresolved circulations
  - Clouds and precip processes driven by unresolved coherent BL circulations
  - Radiative and precipitation properties sensitive to aerosol
- Resolved mesoscale cloud organization is very sensitive to model resolution and domain size
- The CAO cloud regime serves as **an excellent natural testbed** to examine the representation of aerosol and mixed-phase cloud processes in models

### COMBLE: Cold-Air Outbreaks in the Marine Boundary Layer Experiment



# COMBLE instruments: Andøya

#### Instrument

Ka-SACR and W-SACR (scanning)

KAZR (profiling) AERI (Atm. Emitted Radiance Interferom.) & MWRP (microwave radiometer) MPL (profiling micro-pulse lidar) part-time TSI (total sky imager) LDIS (disdrometer) MET RWP (1290 MHz) down for several weeks ECOR AOS (Aerosol Observing System) Radiosondes (120 in total)

#### Measurement

35 and 95 GHz reflectivity, Doppler velocity, Doppler spectrum 35 GHz reflectivity, Doppler velocity

temperature and humidity profiles

backscatter power cloud fraction hydrometeor size distribution, fallspeed surface meteorology, precip wind profiles eddy correlation surface fluxes aerosol sizing and chemistry, gas chemistry T, q, wind profiles









## Aerosol Observing System – AMF1 at Andøya

mass concentrations of organics,

concentration of cloud condensation

nuclei at various supersaturations

sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and

AOS probe ACSM (Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor)

CCN-200

CO/N2O/H2O and O3

gas mixing ratio sensors

chloride

measured variables

CPC-3772 (fine) (Condensation Particle Counter)

UHSAS (Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer)

HTDMA (Humidified Tandem Bitterential Mobility Analyzer)

Nephelometer

PSAP (Particle Soot Absorption Photometer) concentration of sub-micron aerosol particles

concentration and size distribution of sub-micron aerosol particles

the rate at which aerosol particles deliquesce at increasing RH

total scattering and hemispheric backscattering of aerosol, both at ambient RH and at variable controlled RH (like the HTDMA) change in light transmission on a filter exposed to ambient aerosol, relative to a reference filter

#### Ice nucleation measurements





Paul DeMott and Thomas Hill

Colorado State University





# COMBLE instruments: Bjørnøya

#### Instrument

MWRP MPL (profiling) + CEIL TSI LDIS (disdrometer) MET RWP (1290 MHz) ECOR CEIL DL sun photometer VIS and IR broadband radiometer Radiosondes (150 in total)

#### Measurement

temperature and humidity profiles backscatter power, aerosol layers, cloud base cloud fraction precip size distribution, fallspeed surface meteorology, precip wind profiles eddy correlation surface fluxes ceilometer Doppler Lidar narrow FOV radiances SW and LW surface radiation budget T, q, wind profiles









### Fetch-dependent CAO cloud macrostructure

#### **Cloud Streets**

- Small convective cells are linearly organized along the wind direction due to shear-aligned helical rolls
- Surface heat fluxes, shear, and moist convection deepen the CBL with increasing fetch

#### Transition region

 Microphysical and dynamical processes lead to change of cloud regime

#### **Open cellular clouds**

 Convective processes in cells deeper than the PBL result in pockets of heavy precipitation and large cloud free areas between the cells







## **Cloud macrostructure**

Distribution of key parameters during the 2 intense CAOs at Andenes

#### Two intense and longlasting CAO events were observed during COMBLE, 12-13 March and 28-29 March 2020 cases

- Air originates from Fram Strait in both cases
- $\overline{M}$  = 7 K |  $\overline{T}$  = -2 °C |  $\overline{u}$  = 20 kts from NW
- 4-5 km deep convective cells at Andenes
- Many cloud tops above -40°C, yet frequent pockets of high LWP

#### Aqua MODIS 13 Mar 2020 9:50 UTC

Andenes\_2020031311\_gfs0p25\_500\_1000\_2000\_5000m













## Transition from linear to open cellular cloud regime

An object identification method was used to define cloud objects from MODIS imagery, and the changes in object characteristics with fetch are studied.

The **horizontal aspect ratio** is defined as *long:short* axis of the object's enveloping ellipse.





12-13 and 28-29 March 2020 cases

Wu and Ovchinnikov 2022

downstream

## **Properties of isolated convective cells**

- ~50% of cloud tops exceed 3.5 km with ~5% exceeding 5 km
- ~50 % of the cells have CTTs below -37 °C
- Cells objectively isolated in KAZR time-height transects using a technique that can be applied to model output using radar simulators
- Further characterization and classification of these cloud cells is currently explored (e.g., Self-Organizing Maps)



#### LWP vs Z<sub>e</sub> LWP vs spectral width LWP vs w 500 -500 500 m<sup>-2</sup>] <u>5</u> 400 400 400 Mean Liquid Water Path 300 300 300 200 200 200 100 100 R<sup>2</sup>: 0.2 R<sup>2</sup>: 0.096 R<sup>2</sup>: 0.658 10 20 30 40 10 20 30 40 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 % > 15 dBZ Reflectivity Factor $\% > 0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ Doppler Velocity $\% > 0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ Spectrum Width 1.8 2.2 2.6 3.0 3.4 3.8 4.2 4.6 5.0 Lackner et al. 2022 28-29 March 2020 case Maximum Cloud Depth [km]

Correlation of radar variables with radiometer LWP

## Shallow closed cells sometimes occur during CAOs at Andenes



## Open vs closed shallow convection: the environment

- Open cells: Discernible individual clouds separated by large cloud free areas
- Closed Cells: Relatively uniform cloud top heights with insignificant cloud free areas
- frequency at Andenes: **OPEN: 72% CLOSED: 17%**





## **Open vs closed shallow convection:** <u>cloud properties</u>



Lackner et al. 2022

Numerical simulations: Lagrangian large-eddy simulation (LES) approach Objective: explore mesoscale organization and related spectral properties

- WRF-LASSO model (Gustafson et al. 2020):
- Lagrangian perspective: following BL flow computed along ERA5 trajectory
- Hourly skin temp. forcing from ERA5 to represent "moving" domain (Galilean transformation)
- Large-scale pressure gradient forcing constant in time
- 18 h simulation starting 13 Mar. 00 UTC (ice edge to Andenes)
- Key physics:
- Morrison MP w/ N<sub>d</sub>=20 cc<sup>-1</sup>
- RRMTG radiation and revised MM5 surface layer physics
- 3D Deardorff TKE SGS model

	nested domains					
BC	periodic outer domain					
Δx=Δy	90 / 30 m					
Δz	30 m					
domain	97x97 x 6 / 32x32 x 6 km					



– Ice edge



courtesy Tim Juliano

## Lagrangian LES: temporal evolution of cloud structures ice water path liquid water path



courtesy Tim Juliano



courtesy Tim Juliano

outer domain

### Lagrangian LES

Using high-resolution model output to examine coherent structures with the Power Spectral Density (PSD) approach

2020-03-13 10:00:00Z,  $z = 1000 \text{ m}, 0.47z_i$ 



Shift transect every ~4.5 km: total of 13 PSDs per height per time inner domain

#### Lagrangian LES Temporal evolution of across-roll normalized PSD

**Key Takeaways:** 

- w' is clear outlier: most energy-containing structures characterized by sizes much smaller than any other field examined here
- Increase in energy over time due to organization of coherent structures
- Transfer of energy from relatively smaller scales to larger scales



#### Exploring the role of aerosol-cloud interactions and microphysics in CAO cloud organization:



PNNL LES using System for Atmospheric Modeling (SAM; Khairoutdinov and Randall, 2003)

focus on a *quantitative description of cloud morphology* 

N<sub>c</sub>=20 cc<sup>-1</sup> and "MPACE condition"

Courtesy: Mikhail Ovchinnikov and Peng Wu

## **CAO Aerosol Particle Size Distributions**

Abigail Williams, Jeramy Dedrick, Lynn Russell, Florian Tornow, Ann Fridlind, Israel Silber

• For identified CAO events, modes of observational aerosol PSD measurements are fitted at up & downstream sites

- Most cases characterized by three modes (Aitken, accumulation, coarse)
- Note the decrease in accumulation number from upstream to downstream
- Derived aerosol modal parameters suitable for use in the modeling of CAOs

Location	Case	Mode 1 (Aitken)			Mode 2 (Accumulation)			Mode 3 (Coarse)			Total N
		N (cm⁻³)	D (μm)	σ <sub>g</sub>	N (cm⁻³)	D (μm)	$\sigma_{g}$	N (cm⁻³)	D (μm)	$\sigma_{g}$	(cm⁻³)
Zeppelin	13-Mar	38	0.03	1.8	194	0.13	2.2	24	0.61	1.9	256
	5 Case Avg	125±114	0.04±0.01	1.6±0.1	154±36	0.15±0.02	1.7±0.3	9±9	0.4±0.1	2.2±0.3	285±88
Andenes	13-Mar	28	0.02	1.4	43	0.13	1.7	7	0.33	1.7	78
(COMBLE)	5 Case	21+0.001*	0 02+0 001*	1 6+0 4*	94+73	0 13+0 01	1 6+0 1	6+3	0 40+0 07	1 7+0 2	99+60

Fig 3: Derived PSD modal parameters for upstream (Zeppelin) and downstream (COMBLE – Andenes ) for 3/13 and the average of five selected cases



Fig 1: UHSAS and SMPS measured size distribution (black) with modal fits (red, blue, green) for 3/13



downstream (COMBLE – Andenes ) for 3/13

#### Exploring the role of aerosol-cloud interactions and microphysics in CAO cloud organization:



**Objective:** understand modal aerosol evolution and association with cloud processes during CAO Lagrangian evolution using **DHARMA LES (**Distributed Hydrodynamic-Aerosol-Radiation Model Application; Ackerman et al. 2000, Stevens et al. 2002)

Results quantify the importance of a prognostic aerosol (CCN and INP) treatment:

- Inclusion of frozen hydrometeors greatly reduces LWP and rain, in particular beyond ~15 h where cloud-top experiences homogeneous freezing and rapid glaciation
- Balance of aerosol loss (consumption via precipitation formation and riming) and sources (sea spray emissions and FT entrainment) leads to a quasi-steady low-N<sub>c</sub> state after ~8 h
- Fixing N<sub>c</sub> near the low quasi-steady value generally shows ~50 % smaller optical thickness
- Fixing modal aerosol without loss omits rain formation and leads to 100 % greater peak optical depth compared against simulation that allows loss

Next steps:

- Develop prognostic immersion-mode N<sub>inp</sub> reliant on coincident aerosol properties (instead of current diagnostic N<sub>inp</sub>) and compare predicted INP spectra with observations
- Repeat comparisons with satellite and COMBLE observations (lidar and radar variables, LWP, soundings; morphology analysis from passive satellite measurements)

Courtesy: Florian Tornow, Ann Fridlind, Israel Silber, Lynn Russell, Jeramy Dedrick, Abigail Williams

## INPs during cold air outbreaks: unique character; source as being from the ocean or mixing from the free troposphere is still under investigation



**DOE ARM/ASR PI Meeting** 

## **Upcoming COMBLE LES/SCM Model Intercomparison**

<u>Main topics</u>: (a) simulated mesoscale cloud organization; (b) evolution of cloud properties/vertical structure; (c) role of aerosol and precip. in controlling cloud transitions

<u>13 March 2020</u> COMBLE CAO case selected:
(1) Setup developed based on community input
(2) Python Notebooks provided to participants to reduce commitment
(3) Support from DOE ARM to strengthen

obs/model collaborations

Model participants expected from at least 11 different universities/research centers

Observational participants expected from at least 6 different universities/research centers

#### Two main model configurations:

(1) Simplified aerosol (fixed N<sub>d</sub> / N<sub>i</sub>)
(2) Prog. aerosol (based on upwind measurements)



Diverse set of observational measurements from: ARM, Ny-Ålesund/Zeppelin Observatory, CALIPSO, Sentinel (SAR), MODIS, and VIIRS

Join us in Breakout Session 6 (Wednesday from 4:15-6:15 PM ET) to learn more, provide feedback, and get involved!

https://comble-intercomparison.readthedocs.io

# Summary

- COMBLE observations and satellite data are combined to describe cloud morphological evolution and vertical structure of the CAO cloud regime.
- Focus of analyses is on two intense CAOs, mainly 13 March 2020.
- Much progress with SCM and LES modeling of fetch-dependent cloud evolution.
- International LES model intercomparison effort in progress.

# extra slides

# **Cellular cloud identification algorithm**

#### Vertical transect with cloud identification algorithm applied

- Smoothing of reflectivity field with a Gaussian filter
- Pixels < 30 dBZ not counted as part of cloud
- Shallow, small, and elevated cloud structures are removed
- Contiguous cloud structures with multiple strong reflectivity cores are identified as multiple clouds





## **Cloud street spacing and** aspect ratio inferred from satellite imagery

- Suomi NPP/NOAA-20 VIIRS I5 (10.5-12.4 µm) band imagery
- Satellite data is interpolated on a regular grid
- Spectral Analysis along a line perpendicular to BL wind
- The **vertical aspect ratio (AR)** is defined as ulletwidth:depth. It is derived from most significant spectral peak and satellite-retrieved cloud top height
- Technique can similarly be applied to various fields in model data allowing for validation and comparison to observation



regime

cloud I

linear

cells

open