

Mesoscale Convective Systems

# Taranis: Advanced Precipitation and Cloud Products for ARM Radars

Joseph Hardin, Nitin Bharadwaj, Scott Giangrande, Adam Varble, Zhe Feng

2019 ARM/ASR PI Meeting, Rockville, Maryland

Climate Model Development and Validation – Mesoscale Convective System

(CMDV-MCS) is a BER project headed by PNNL to develop and validate DOE's Accelerated Climate Model for Energy (ACME) model capability in simulating MCSs.



Mesoscale Convective Systems

# Goal

To improve understanding of warm season continental convection and develop treatments of convection and microphysics capable of representing MCS features in large-scale models

More explicitly:

- Develop and evaluate cloud parameterizations using observations and LAM/LES.
- Evaluate developments using ACME with RR grid centered over the ARM SGP site.
- Use global observational data to evaluate ACME uniform-grid simulations.

#### PI: Jiwen Fan

- T1. Cloud macrophysics and dynamics (Mikhail Ovchinnikov)
- **T2.** Cloud microphysics (Jiwen Fan)
- T3. Q3D-MMF (David Randall)
- **T4.** MMF aerosol and cloud microphysics (Jiwen Fan)
- **T5.** Observations (Zhe Feng)
- T6. LES/LAM (Bill Gustafson)
- **T7.** Regional refinement (Erika Roesler)
- **T8.** Evaluation (Jiwen Fan and Kai Zhang)

# **CMDV-MCS**

- CMDV had eight main task areas spread amongst the team.
- Regular integration between modelers and observationalists to ensure activities were synergistic.
- This talk will focus on one of the observation activities





## Taranis

- A task area for CMDV was to develop a suite of products from ARM radars to support model evaluation that scaled to multiple campaigns.
- Initial focus on MC3E, with plans to support other campaigns.
- This talk examines this suite of products (**Taranis**) that includes corrections, gridding, and retrievals of geophysical quantities from cloud and precipitation radars.
- Why some of these retrievals and corrections are necessary?



# **Observations of Precipitation in CMDV**



#### **Radar Data: Attenuation**

 $\alpha_{dn} = \alpha_h - \alpha_i$ 

The hydrometeors in the propagation medium absorb a portion of the energy from the wave which cause the signal to attenuate. The attenuation levels are dependent on the DSD and the size of the hydrometeors relative to the wavelength. Attenuation is attributed to the extinction cross-section.



Shorter wavelength (higher frequency) radars suffer significant attenuation in rain. The observed data must be corrected for the bias induced by attenuation due to rainfall

# **Overview of Taranis**

- Taranis is a new set of radar products that combines the best of open source implementations with some new algorithms.
- Taranis is a modular Python library that calculates geophysical quantities of interest using single or networks of radars.
- Intended to be used for model evaluation.
- Designed to be compatible with the various ARM frequency bands.
- This includes masking for QC, corrections of propagation effects, and retrievals of parameters of interest.
- Computationally expensive algorithms in C, everything driven by Python.



#### **Taranis Data Flow**



Radar Data Processing



#### Radar Data Processing: Specific Differential Phase $(K_{dp})$

The specific differential phase  $(K_{dp})$  is a wave propagation property determined the DSD, shape, and orientation of the particles. The phase velocity of the horizontally and vertically polarized wave differ as they propagate through hydrometeors. This difference in phase can provide valuable information about the hydrometeors.



# Challenges in K<sub>dp</sub> Estimation

• KDP is not a measured quantity however. Instead we measure  $\Psi_{\text{dp}}$  where

$$egin{aligned} \Psi_{dp}(r) &= \Phi_{dp}(r) + \delta_{co}(r) \ \Phi_{dp}(r) &= \int_0^r K_{dp}(r) dr \ \cdot \end{aligned}$$

- K<sub>dp</sub> is a useful quantity both for retrievals and for correcting for attenuation
- It is unaffected by attenuation and closely related to rain rate.

Challenge lies in estimating the slope of a noisy signal.





# $K_{dp}$ Estimation

- Taranis utilizes a new KDP estimation algorithm that combines the best of the spline approach from Yanting & Chandrasekar (2009) and the linear programming approach of Giangrande et. al. (2013).
- Additional self consistency and global constraints were added as well.
- Fast C-based multi-threaded implementation with Python interface.
- Hybrid retrieval reworks the constraints in LP to incorporate spline based processing.





#### Radar Data Processing: Specific Differential Phase $(K_{dp})$

•  $\psi_{dp}$  estimation is formulated as an optimization problem to minimize  $L_1$ 

 $L_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - b_i|$ **b** = {b<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>2</sub>, ..., b<sub>n</sub>} be the differential phase data **x** = {x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub>} be the variables of the "fit"

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{lb} \\ \mathbf{x}_{lb} \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{ub} \\ \mathbf{x}_{ub} \end{bmatrix}$ 

• The above is formulated as a linear programming (LP) optimization problem

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}} \left[ \mathbf{0}^{T} \quad \mathbf{1}^{T} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{Such that:} \\ \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{k}_{dp}^{T} \end{bmatrix} &\leq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n} & -\mathbf{I}_{n} \\ \mathbf{I}_{n} & \mathbf{I}_{n} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n-(m-1)/2,n} & \mathbf{M}_{n-(m-1)/2,n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leq \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{k}_{dp}^{u} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leq \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{k}_{dp}^{u} \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{Mn-(m-1)/2,n} & \mathbf{Mn-(m-1)/2,n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{Mn-(m-1)/2,n} & \mathbf{Mn-(m-1)/2,n} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ &\leq \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{k}_{dp}^{u} \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{Mn-(m-1)/2,n} & \mathbf{Mn-(m-1)/2,n} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \text{Mn-(m-1)/2,n} & \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{$$

See Giangrande et. al. (2013)

#### Radar Data Processing: Specific Differential Phase $(K_{dp})$

Relative performance of KDP retrieval under different precipitation condition. The figures show KDP retrieval for a precipitating system with rain, heavy rain, heavy rain with large drops or hail. Attenuation correction has not been applied to reflectivity and differential reflectivity.









## Radar Data Processing: Attenuation correction





- Modification of the Lim & Chandrasekar (2016) attenuation correction algorithm.
- Utilizes a series of self-consistency relationships for different shape relationships and temperatures based on scattering models.
- Cost optimization over several candidate solutions.
- Can be tailored to individual sites, or used as-is.



# X-SAPR I5







HybridLP gives better spatial detail in specific attenuation than other algorithms.



# Self-Consistency Model

- The relations used in the attenuation correction algorithm come from scattering disdrometer data at the site and fitting the relevant relationships.
  - This is done at a range of temperatures (0-30 C)
  - And a range of drop shape models (Beard-Chuang, Thurai-Bringi, Andsager-Beard-Chuang, Pruppacher-Beard
  - For each relevant frequency.

$$\alpha_{h} = a_{1}Z_{h}^{b_{1}}Z_{DR}^{c_{1}}$$

$$\alpha_{v} = a_{2}Z_{v}^{b_{2}}Z_{DR}^{c_{2}}$$

$$\alpha_{dp} = a_{3}Z_{h}^{b_{3}}Z_{DR}^{c_{3}}$$

$$K_{dp} = a_{4}\frac{\alpha_{h}^{b_{4}+1}}{Z_{h}^{b_{4}}}$$

$$\delta_{co} = \frac{a_{5} + c_{5}Y^{b_{4}}}{b_{5} + Y^{b_{4}}} \quad \text{where} \quad Y = \frac{K_{dp}}{\alpha_{dp}}$$

$$K_{dp} = a_{6}Z_{h}^{b_{6}}$$



## Self Consistency Model: Uncertainty Estimation



• We use "bootstrap" to calculate uncertainties in self consistency coefficients.

**Geophysical Retrievals** 



# Geophysical Retrievals – Hydrometeor Identification

- Hydrometeor identification (HID) is accomplished with the fairly standard neural-fuzzy network approach.
- Algorithm from Dolan et al<sup>2</sup>.; implementation from open source CSU radar tools
- 10 class output
- HID Calculated once from each radar for use in QPE
   Limit rain rate estimation to liquid
- Calculated again on gridded data at end.
  - Avoids gridding categorical variable







# **Geophysical Retrievals - QPE**

- Blended rain rate estimator
  - Utilizes HID to reject ice categories.
  - Multiple individual rain rate estimators combined
- Implemented as a decision tree.
  - Utilizes different rain rate relationships in different regimes.
  - Can be setup for multiple frequencies.
- Individual estimators are tuned using disdrometer data from campaign and T-Matrix scattering results.
- In liquid, D<sub>m</sub>, N<sub>w</sub> estimated using power law estimator<sup>2</sup>





- Validation is run against nearby gauges and disdrometers.
- For MC3E we used weighting bucket rain gauges as well as disdrometers from NASA and ARM and processed them with PyDSD to apply data filtering.



# Gridding

- After parameters are calculated, the data is gridded onto a 3D rectangular grid.
- After gridding, HID is run once more.
- Quantities are then released on both spherical radar coordinate grid, and a cartesian grid matched to several resolutions.

# Time-Height Display of HID from Gridded PPIs.





# Real-time operation during CACTI

- Taranis was used to drive the CACTI quicklooks during the field campaign.
  - Provided attenuation correction, KDP estimation, and quality flags
- The suite was run on a single computer co-located with the CSAPR radar in realtime.



# **Future Work**

- We would like to extend the results into the melting layer and ice regions.
  - Target arctic and high frequency radars.
- We are currently integrating the processing of Taranis cases into
   FLEXTRKR, a flexible object tracker for storms developed at PNNL that utilizes multiple instruments and platforms to track storm systems.





# Conclusions

- We've introduced a set of products that is robust and works at multiple sites, frequencies, and networks.
- The algorithms are efficient, and run on multiple HPC clusters (STRATUS & NERSC)
- They have been used in model evaluation studies for CMDV.
- Algorithms have been validated against disdrometers, rain gauges, and NEXRAD, as well as being compared to aircraft observations.

- Datasets will be uploaded as PI datasets through ARM archive.
  - Several MC3E cases have been processed and distributed for evaluation.
  - MC3E datasets will be uploaded by end of August 2019
- Several cases from CACTI have been run as well and the rest of the campaign will be processed.
  - Additionally currently running XSAPR summer experiment from SGP.

